

ANALYZING AFRO-CUBAN RHYTHM USING ROTATION-AWARE CLAVE TEMPLATE MATCHING WITH DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Matthew Wright, W. Andrew Schloss, George Tzanetakis
University of Victoria, Computer Science and Music Departments
mattwrig@uvic.ca, aschloss@finearts.uvic.ca, gtzan@cs.uvic.ca

ABSTRACT

The majority of existing research in Music Information Retrieval (MIR) has focused on either popular or classical music and frequently makes assumptions that do not generalize to other music cultures. We use the term Computational Ethnomusicology (CE) to describe the use of computer tools to assist the analysis and understanding of musics from around the world. Although existing MIR techniques can serve as a good starting point for CE, the design of effective tools can benefit from incorporating domain-specific knowledge about the musical style and culture of interest. In this paper we describe our realization of this approach in the context of studying Afro-Cuban rhythm. More specifically we show how computer analysis can help us characterize and appreciate the complexities of tracking tempo and analyzing micro-timing in these particular music styles. A novel template-based method for tempo tracking in rhythmically complex Afro-Cuban music is proposed. Although our approach is domain-specific, we believe that the concepts and ideas used could also be used for studying other music cultures after some adaptation.

1 INTRODUCTION

We present a set of techniques and tools designed for studying rhythm and timing in recordings of Afro-Cuban music with particular emphasis on “clave,” a rhythmic pattern used for temporal organization. In order to visualize timing information we propose a novel graphical representation that can be generated by computer from signal analysis of audio recordings and from listeners’ annotations collected in real time. The proposed visualization is based on the idea of Bar Wrapping, which is the breaking and stacking of a linear time axis at a fixed metric location.

The techniques proposed in this paper have their origins in Music Information Retrieval (MIR) but have been adapted and extended in order to analyze the particular music culture studied. Unlike much of existing work in MIR in which the target user is an “average” music listener, the focus of this work is people who are “experts” in a particular music culture. Examples of the type of questions they would like to explore include: how do expert players differ from each

other, and also from competent musicians who are not familiar with the particular style; are there consistent timing deviations for notes at different metric positions; how does tempo change over the course of a recording etc. Such questions have been frequently out of reach because it is tedious or impossible to explore without computer assistance.

Creating automatic tools for analyzing micro-timing and tempo variations for Afro-Cuban music has been challenging. Existing beat-tracking tools either don’t provide the required functionality (for example only perform tempo tracking but don’t provide beat locations) or are simply not able to handle the rhythmic complexity of Afro-Cuban music because they make assumptions that are not always applicable, such as expecting more and louder notes on metrically “strong” beats. Finally the required precision for temporal analysis is much higher than typical MIR applications. These considerations have motivated the design of a beat tracker that utilizes domain-specific knowledge about Cuban rhythms.

The proposed techniques fall under the general rubric of what has been termed *Computational Ethnomusicology* (CE), which refers to the design and usage of computer tools that can assist ethnomusicological research [14]. Futrelle and Downie argued for MIR research to expand to other domains beyond Western pop and classical music [9]. Retrieval based on rhythmic information has been explored in the context of Greek and African traditional music [1].

Our focus here is the analysis of music in which percussion plays an important role, specifically, Afro-Cuban music. Schloss [13] and Bilmes [4] each studied timing nuances in Afro-Cuban music with computers. Beat tracking and tempo induction are active topics of research, although they have mostly focused on popular music styles [11]. Our work follows Collins’ suggestion [5] to build beat trackers that embody knowledge of specific musical styles.

The *clave* is a small collection of rhythms embedded in virtually all Cuban music. Clave is a repeated syncopated rhythmic pattern that is often explicitly played, but often only implied; it is the essence of periodicity in Cuban music. An instrument also named “clave” (a pair of short sticks hit together) usually plays this repeating pattern. Clave is found mainly in two forms: *rumba clave* and *son clave* (one way of notating clave is shown in Figure 1).



Figure 1. Son (left) and rumba (right) clave

Our study of timing requires knowing the exact time of every note played by the clave. We can then decompose this data into an estimate of how tempo changes over time (what is called the *tempo curve*) and a measure of each individual note’s deviation from the “ideal” time predicted by a metronomic rendition of the patterns shown in Figure 1.

Unfortunately, we do not know of any databases of Afro-Cuban music with an exact ground-truth time marked for every clave note or even for every downbeat.¹ Therefore we constructed a small four-song database² and gathered ground truth clave timing data by having an expert percussionist with Afro-Cuban experience tap along with the clave part. Custom sample-accurate tap detection/logging software automatically timestamps the taps.

Recordings of Afro-Cuban music challenge existing state-of-the-art beat-tracking algorithms because of the complex and dense rhythm and the lack of regular approximately isochronous pulses. Figure 2 shows how two recent state-of-the-art beat-tracking systems (BeatRoot [7] and a beat tracker using dynamic programming proposed by Ellis [8]) do not generate an accurate tempo curve for the recording *CB*. The plots in the figure are shown only in order to motivate the proposed approach. The comparison is not fair, as the other algorithms are more generally applicable and designed with different assumptions, but in any case it demonstrates the advantage of a domain-specific method to deal with these recordings: our method is specifically designed to take into account clave as the rhythmic backbone.

2 DATA PREPARATION

It is common for Afro-Cuban songs to begin with just the sound of the clave for one or two repetitions to establish the initial tempo. However as other instruments (both percussive and pitched) and voices enter the mix the sound of the clave tends to become masked. The first step of data preparation is to enhance the sound of the clave throughout the song using a matched filter approach. In addition onset detection is performed.

¹ Bilmes recorded about 23 minutes of Afro-Cuban percussion at MIT in 1992, and performed sophisticated analysis of the timing of the *guagua* and *conga* (but not clave) instruments [4]; unfortunately these analog recordings are not currently available to the research community.

² Here is the name, artist, and source recording for each song, along with the two-character ID used later in the paper: *LP*: *La Polemica*, Los Muñequitos de Matanzas, Rumba Caliente 88. *CB*: *Cantar Bueno*, Yoruba Andabo, El Callejon De Los Rumberos. *CH*: *Chacho*, Los Muñequitos de Matanzas, Cuba: I Am Time (Vol. 1). *PD*: *Popurrit de Sones Orientales*, Conjunto de Sones Orientales, Son de Cuba.




Figure 2. Four estimates of the tempo curve for our recording *CB*: Ground truth calculated from a human expert’s tap times (upper left), curve from our method (top right), curve from BeatRoot (lower left), and curve from Ellis’ dynamic programming approach (lower right).

2.1 Clave enhancement using Matched-Filtering

A matched filter detects or enhances the presence of an *a priori* known signal within an unknown signal. Its impulse response is a time-reversed copy of the known signal, which in our case is the beginning portion of one isolated clave note. The clave instrument affords little timbral variety and therefore every note of clave in a given recording sounds substantially like all the others, so a matched filter made from any single note (frequently easily obtained from the beginning of the song) will enhance the presence of the clave throughout the song and suppress the remaining signal. One free parameter is the filter order, i.e., the duration of the segment of the clave note; in each case we selected a “good” matched filter experimentally by listening to the output of different configurations. All the curves in Figure 2 and results in this paper have been calculated on audio signals output by matched filtering.

2.2 Onset detection

Onset detection aims at finding the starting time of musical events (e.g. notes, chords, drum events) in an audio signal; see [3],[6] for recent tutorials. We used *spectral flux* as the onset detection function, defined as:

$$SF(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} HWR(|X(n, k)| - |X(n - 1, k)|) \quad (1)$$

where $HWR(x) = \frac{x+|x|}{2}$ is the half-wave rectifier function, $X(n, k)$ represents the k -th frequency bin of the n -th frame of the power magnitude (in dB) of the short time Fourier transform, and N is the corresponding Hamming window size. For the experiments performed in this work all data had a sampling rate $f_s = 44100$ Hz and we used a window size of 46 ms ($N = 2048$) and a hop size of about 11ms ($R = 512$). The onsets are subsequently detected from the spectral flux values by a causal peak-picking algorithm that attempts to find local maxima as follows. A peak at time $t = \frac{nR}{f_s}$ (the time of the beginning of the n th frame) is selected as an onset if it fulfills the following conditions:

1. $SF(n) \geq SF(k) \quad \forall k : n - w \leq k \leq n + w$
2. $SF(n) > \frac{\sum_{k=n-mw}^{n+w} SF(k)}{mw+w+1} \times thres + \delta$

where $w = 6$ is the size of the window used to find a local maximum, $m = 4$ is a multiplier so that the mean is calculated over a larger range before the peak, $thres = 2.0$ is a threshold relative to the local mean that a peak must reach in order to be sufficiently prominent to be selected as an onset, and $\delta = 10^{-20}$ is a residual value to avoid false detections on silent regions of the signal. All these parameter values were derived from preliminary experiments using a collection of music signals with varying onset characteristics.

In order to reduce the false detection rate, we smooth the detection function $SF(n)$ with a Butterworth filter to reduce the effect of spurious peaks:

$$H(z) = \frac{0.1173 + 0.2347z^{-1} + 0.1174z^{-2}}{1 - 0.8252z^{-1} + 0.2946z^{-2}} \quad (2)$$

(These coefficients were found by experimentation based on the findings in [3],[6].) In order to avoid phase distortion (which would shift the detected onset time away from the $SF(n)$ peak) the signal is filtered in both the forward and reverse directions.

3 TEMPLATE-BASED TEMPO TRACKING

We propose a new method to deal with the challenges of beat tracking in Afro-Cuban music. The main idea is to use domain specific knowledge, in this case the clave pattern, directly to guide the tracking. The method consists of the following four basic steps: 1) Consider each detected onset time as a potential note of the clave pattern. 2) Exhaustively consider every possible tempo (and clave rotation) at each onset by cross-correlating each of a set of clave-pattern templates against an onset strength envelope signal beginning at each detected onset. 3) Interpret each cross-correlation result as a score for the corresponding tempo (and clave rotation) hypothesis. 4) Connect the local tempo and phase estimates to provide a smooth tempo curve and deal with errors in onset detection, using dynamic programming.

The idea of using dynamic programming for beat tracking was proposed by Laroche [10], where an onset function was compared to a predefined envelope spanning multiple beats that incorporated expectations concerning how a particular tempo is realized in terms of strong and weak beats; dynamic programming efficiently enforced continuity in both beat spacing and tempo. Peeters [12] developed this idea, again allowing for tempo variation and matching of envelope patterns against templates. An approach assuming constant tempo that allows a simpler formulation at the cost of more limited scope has been described by Ellis [8].

3.1 Clave pattern templates

At the core of our method is the idea of using entire rhythmic patterns (templates) for beat tracking rather than individual beats. First we construct a template for each possible tempo. We take the ideal note onset times in units of beats (e.g., for rumba clave, the list $[0, 0.75, 1.75, 2.5, 3]$) and multiply them by the duration of a beat at each tempo, giving ideal note onset times in seconds. We center a Gaussian envelope on each ideal note onset time to form the template. The standard deviation (i.e., width) of these Gaussians is a free parameter of this method. Initial results with a constant width revealed a bias towards higher tempi, so widths are specified in units of beats, i.e., we scale the width linearly with tempo. Better results were obtained by making each template contain multiple repetitions of the clave, e.g., three complete patterns. Figure 3 shows a visual representation of the template rotations for all considered tempi.

Matrix of all 11-note Son clave templates, STD 0.4 beats




Figure 3. Clave Templates for all rotations and tempi

With a 5-note clave pattern, any given note played by the clave could be the 1st, 2nd, 3rd 4th or 5th note of the pattern. Therefore we make templates for all “rotations” of the clave, i.e., for the repeating pattern as started from any of the five notes. For example, rotation 0 of rumba clave is $[0, 0.75, 1.75, 2.5, 3]$, and rotation 1 (starting from the second note) is $[0.75, 1.75, 2.5, 3, 4] - 0.75 = [0, 1, 1.75, 2.25, 3.25]$. Time 0 always refers to the onset time of the current note.




Figure 4. Matching different templates (filled grey) to the *CB* recording’s energy envelope (black line). The X-axis is time (seconds), with zero the time of the onset under consideration. The score for each template match represents how well that template lines up with the energy envelope.

We cross-correlate (in other words, take the dot product of) these templates against segments of an onset strength envelope (in our case, simply the total energy in each 1024-sample window of the matched filter output) beginning at the time of each detected onset. We interpret the dot product between the onset strength signal $O(t)$ and a template $T_{j,k}(t)$ with tempo j and rotation k as the strength of the hypothesis that the given onset is the given note of clave at the given tempo. Figure 4 depicts this process for some tempi and rotations and the corresponding scores. We exhaustively compute these dot products for every candidate tempo j (e.g., from 95 to 170 BPM in 1 BPM increments), for all five rotations of the clave pattern k , for every detected onset i at time t_i to produce a *score grid*:

$$score(i, j, k) = \sum_{t=0}^{LT_{j,k}-1} T_{j,k}(t)O(t_i + t) \quad (3)$$

where $LT_{j,k}$ is the length of template $T_{j,k}$.

3.2 Rotation-blind dynamic programming

It is trivial to look at a given onset, pick the tempo and rotation with the highest score, and call that the short-term tempo estimate. However, due to the presence of noise, inevitable onset detection errors, and the matched filter’s far-

from-perfect powers of auditory source separation, simply connecting these short-term tempo estimates does not produce a usable estimate of the tempo curve. Better results can be achieved by explicitly discouraging large tempo changes. We use dynamic programming [2] as an efficient means to estimate the best tempo path (i.e., time-varying tempo). In the next section we will consider the rotations of the template; for now let the “rotation-blind” score be:

$$scoreRB(i, j) = \max_k(score(i, j, k)) \quad k : 1..5 \quad (4)$$

We convert each score $scoreRB$ to a cost $C_{i,j}$ with a linear remapping so that the highest score maps to cost 0 and the lowest score maps to cost 1. We define a *path* P as a sequence of tempo estimates (one per onset), so that $P(i)$ is P ’s estimate of the tempo at time t_i . Our algorithm minimizes the *path cost* PC of the length n path P :

$$PC(P) = \sum_{i=0:n-1} C_{i,P(i)} + \sum_{i=0:n-2} F(P(i), P(i+1)) \quad (5)$$

where $F(tempo_1, tempo_2)$ is a “tempo discontinuity cost function” expressing the undesirability of sudden changes in tempo. F is simply a scalar times the absolute difference of the two tempi. Dynamic programming can efficiently find the lowest-cost path from the first onset to the last because the optimal path up to any tempo at time t_i depends only on the optimal paths up to time t_{i-1} . We record both the cost $PC(i, j)$ and the previous tempo $Previous(i, j)$ for the best path up to any given onset i and tempo j .

3.3 Rotation-aware dynamic programming

Now we will extend the above algorithm to consider rotation, i.e., our belief about which note of clave corresponds to each onset. Now our cost function $C_{i,j,k}$ is also a function of the rotation k . Our path tells us both the tempo $P_{tempo}(i)$ at time t_i and also the rotation $P_{rot}(i)$, so we must keep track of both previous $Previous_{tempo}(i, j)$ and $Previous_{rot}(i, j)$ (corresponding to the best path up to i and j). Furthermore, considering rotation will also give us a principled way for the path to skip over “bad” onsets, so instead of assuming that every path reaches onset i by way of onset $i - 1$ we must also keep track of $Previous_{onset}(i, j)$.

The key improvement in this algorithm is the handling of rotation. Rotation (which indexes the notes in the clave pattern) is converted to *phase*, the proportion (from 0 to 1) of the distance from one downbeat to the next. (So the phases for the notes of rumba clave are [0, 0.1875, 0.4375, 0.625, 0.75]). The key idea is predicting what the phase of the next note “should be”: Given phase ϕ_1 and tempo j_1 for onset i_1 , a candidate tempo j_2 for onset i_2 , and the time between onsets $\Delta T = t_2 - t_1$, and assuming linear interpolation of

	LP	CB	CH	PD	LPWT	PDWT
RB	40	26	22.9	63.1	39.96	62.7
RA	1.75	11	1.54	3.10	2.043	57.9

Table 1. RMS (in BPM) results for tempo curve estimation

tempo during the (short) time between these nearby onsets, we can use the fact that tempo (beat frequency) is the derivative of phase to estimate the phase $\hat{\phi}_2$:

$$\hat{\phi}_2 = \phi_1 + \Delta T((j_1 + j_2)/2)/(4 \times 60) \quad (6)$$

Dividing by 4×60 converts from BPM to bars per second.

Now we can add an extra term to our cost function to express the difference between the predicted phase $\hat{\phi}_2$ and the actual phase ϕ_2 corresponding to the rotation of whatever template we’re considering for the onset at time t_2 (being careful to take this difference modulo 1, so that, e.g., the difference between 0.01 and .98 is only 0.03, not 0.97). We’ll call this phase distance the “phase residual” R , and add the term $\alpha * R$ to our cost function.

Now let’s consider how to handle “false” detected onsets, i.e., onsets that are not actually notes of clave. For onset n , we consider not just onset $n - 1$ as the previous onset, but every onset i with $t_i > t_n - K$, i.e., every onset within K seconds before onset n , where K is set heuristically to 1.5 times the largest time between notes of clave (one beat) at the slowest tempo. We introduce a “skipped onset cost” β and include $\beta \times (n - i - 2)$ in the path cost when the path goes from onset i to onset n .

Table 1 shows the Root-mean-square (RMS) error between the ground truth tempocurve and the tempocurves estimated by the rotation-blind (RB) and rotation-aware (RA) configurations of our method. In all cases the rotation-aware significantly outperforms the rotation-blind method (which usually tracks correctly only parts of the tempo curve). The first three recordings (LP, CB, CH) have rumba-clave and the fourth piece (PD) has son-clave. The last two columns show the results when using the “wrong” template. Essentially when the template is not correct the matching cost of the beat path is much higher and the tempo curve estimation is wrong. Figure 5 shows the score grid for the rotation-blind (top) and rotation-aware (bottom) configurations overlaid with the estimated and ground truth tempocurves.

4 BAR-WRAPPING VISUALIZATION

A performance typically consists of about 625-1000 clave “notes”. Simply plotting each point along a linear time axis would require either excessive width, or would make the figure too small to see anything; this motivates bar wrapping. Conceptually, we start by marking each event time (in this




Figure 5. Rotation-blind (top) and rotation-aware (bottom) beat tracking

case, each detected onset) on a linear time axis. If we imagine this time axis as a strip of magnetic tape holding our recording, then metaphorically we cut the tape just before each downbeat, so that we have 200 short pieces of tape, which we then stack vertically, so that time reads from left to right along each row, and then down to the next row, like text in languages such as English. Each of these “strips” is then stretched horizontally to fill the figure width, adding a tempo curve along the right side to show the original duration of each bar. Figure 6 depicts the times of our detected onsets for *LP* with this technique. The straight lines show the theoretical clave locations. By looking at the figure one can notice that the 5th clave note is consistently slightly later than the theoretical location. This would be hard to notice without precise estimation of the tempocurve.

Rotation-aware dynamic programming is used to find the downbeat times. An explicit downbeat estimate occurs whenever the best path includes a template at rotation 0. But there might not be a detected onset at the time of a downbeat, so we must also consider implicit downbeats, where the current onset’s rotation is not 0 but it is lower than the rotation of the previous onset in the best path. The phase is interpolated to estimate the downbeat time that “must have occurred” between the two onsets.




Figure 6. Bar-wrapping visualization

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our beat-tracking method works particularly well for Afro-Cuban clave for many reasons: 1) The clave part almost never stops in traditional Afro-Cuban music (although it can be hard to hear when many other percussion instruments are playing).³ 2) The clave pattern almost never changes in Afro-Cuban music.⁴ 3) The clave instrument produces an extremely consistent timbre with every note, so matched filtering does a good job emphasizing it.⁵ 4) Songs often begin with the clave alone, making it easy to construct our matched filter.⁶ 5) The clave plays one of a few predetermined syncopated parts, favoring the use of predefined templates rather than assumptions of isochrony.

There are many future work directions. Rhythmic analysis can be used to categorize recordings into different styles and possibly identify particular artists or even percussionists. We also plan to apply the method to more recordings and continue working with ethnomusicologists and performers interested in exploring timing. It is our belief that our template-based rotation-aware formulation can also be applied to popular music by utilizing different standard drum patterns as templates. All the code implementing the method can be obtained by emailing the authors.

³ Our method’s phase- and tempo-continuity constraints allow it to stay on track in the face of extra or missing onsets and occasional unduly low template match scores, so we expect that it would still perform correctly across short gaps in the clave part.

⁴ One subtlety of Afro-Cuban music is the notion of “3-2” versus “2-3” clave, which refers to a 180-degree phase shift of the clave part with respect to the ensemble’s downbeat. Our method has no notion of the ensemble’s downbeat and “doesn’t care” about this distinction. Some songs change between 3-2 and 2-3 in the middle, but never by introducing a discontinuity in the clave part (which would be a problem for our algorithm); instead the other instruments generally play a phrase with two “extra” beats that shifts their relationship to the clave.

⁵ In rare cases a different instrument carries the clave part; this should not be a problem for our method as long as a relatively isolated sample can be located.

⁶ As future work we would like to explore the possibility of creating a “generic” clave enhancement filter that doesn’t rely on having an isolated clave note in every recording, a weakness of the current method.

6 REFERENCES

- [1] I. Antonopoulos et al. Music retrieval by rhythmic similarity applied on greek and african traditional music. In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Music Information Retrieval(ISMIR)*, 2007.
- [2] R. Bellman. *Dynamic Programming*. Princeton University Press, 1957.
- [3] J.P. Bello et al. A tutorial on onset detection in music signals. *IEEE Trans. on Speech and Audio Processing*, 13(5):1035–1047, September 2005.
- [4] J. Bilmes. Timing is of the essence: Perceptual and computational techniques for representing, learning and reproducing timing in percussive rhythm. Master’s thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1993.
- [5] N. Collins. Towards a style-specific basis for computational beat tracking. In *Int. Conf. on Music Perception and Cognition*, 2006.
- [6] S. Dixon. Onset detection revisited. In *Proc. International Conference on Digital Audio Effects (DAFx)*, Montreal, Canada, 2006.
- [7] S. Dixon. Evaluation of audio beat tracking system beat-root. *Journal of New Music Research*, 36(1), 2007.
- [8] D. Ellis. Beat tracking by dynamic programming. *Journal of New Music Research*, 36(1), 2007.
- [9] J. Futrelle and S. Downie. Interdisciplinary communities and research issues in music information retrieval. In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Music Information Retrieval(ISMIR)*, 2002.
- [10] J. Laroche. Efficient tempo and beat tracking in audio recordings. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, 51(4):226–233, 2003.
- [11] M.F. McKinney et al. Evaluation of audio beat tracking and music tempo extraction algorithms. *Journal of New Music Research*, 36(1), 2007.
- [12] G. Peeters. Template-based estimation of time-varying tempo. *EURASIP Journal of Advances in Signal Processing*, 2007.
- [13] W.A. Schloss. *On the Automatic Transcription of Percussive Music: From Acoustic Signal to High-Level Analysis*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1985.
- [14] G. Tzanetakis, A. Kapur, W.A. Schloss, and M. Wright. Computational ethnomusicology. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Music Studies*, 1(2):1–24, 2007.